

Agenda Item 5

Draft Proposal to list the Baltic Proper  
Harbour Porpoise to CMS Appendix I

**Information Document 5b**

**Format for Proposals to amend CMS  
Appendices**

**Action Requested**

- Take Note

Submitted by

Secretariat



**Annex 2 to Resolution 13.7**

**FORMAT FOR PROPOSALS TO AMEND CMS APPENDICES**

A. PROPOSAL

B. PROPONENT

C. SUPPORTING STATEMENT

1. Taxonomy

1.1 Class

1.2 Order

1.3 Family

1.4 Genus, species or subspecies, including author and year

1.5 Scientific synonyms

1.6 Common name(s), in all applicable languages used by the Convention

2. Overview

3 Migrations

3.1 Kinds of movement, distance, the cyclical and predicable nature of the migration

3.2 Proportion of the population migrating, and why that is a significant proportion

4. Biological data (other than migration)

4.1 Distribution (current and historical)

4.2 Population (estimates and trends)

4.3 Habitat (short description and trends)

4.4 Biological characteristics

4.5 Role of the taxon in its ecosystem

5. Conservation status and threats

5.1 IUCN Red List Assessment (if available)

5.2 Equivalent information relevant to conservation status assessment

5.3 Threats to the population (factors, intensity)

5.4 Threats connected especially with migrations

5.5 National and international utilization

6. Protection status and species management

6.1 National protection status

6.2 International protection status

6.3 Management measures

6.4 Habitat conservation

6.5 Population monitoring

7. Effects of the proposed amendment

7.1 Anticipated benefits of the amendment

7.2 Potential risks of the amendment

7.3 Intention of the proponent concerning development of an Agreement or Concerted Action

8. Range States

9. Consultations

10. Additional remarks

11. References

N.B. Proponents should refer to Resolution 13.7 *Guidelines for Preparing and Assessing Proposals for the Amendment of CMS Appendices* to ensure the proposal includes all relevant information to enable the Scientific Council and the Conference of the Parties to evaluate the merits of the proposal made.